

## Themes in eLearning: Review Checklist

Evaluation Criteria	Comments to the author(s)
<b>Value, significance and originality</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How this paper advances knowledge in the field?</li> <li>• Does the paper address a new problem or one that has not been studied in depth?</li> <li>• Does the paper present new approaches?</li> <li>• Does it point out differences from related research?</li> </ul>	
<b>Theoretical background and research design</b>	
Adequacy of the research design <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the research grounded in a sound theoretical and/or conceptual framework?</li> <li>• Is the research consistent with existing-related literature</li> <li>• Does it includes a description and justification of the approach used?</li> <li>• Are the research objectives, questions or hypotheses clearly presented and justified?</li> </ul>	
<b>Research methodology</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the research axes or/and aims clearly described?</li> <li>• Are the sample and the procedures clearly described?</li> <li>• In the case of an empirical study, does the paper include a detailed presentation of the methodology, recruitment or sampling, data collection and analysis?</li> </ul>	
<b>Findings and data analysis</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the proper statistics or qualitative analysis used?</li> <li>• Are the results properly described and presented?</li> <li>• Is a comprehensive interpretation of the findings</li> <li>• Are the results connected to the main theoretical ideas in light of the research focus and in the context of the existing literature?</li> </ul>	
<b>Discussion and conclusion</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the findings and the theoretical ideas discussed in light of the research focus and in the context of the existing literature?</li> <li>• Do conclusions connect with research objectives and findings?</li> <li>• Does the paper address appropriate conclusions and implications for practice and policy?</li> <li>• Are the strengths and the limitations of the study discussed?</li> <li>• Are included potential directions for future research?</li> </ul>	
<b>Quality and clarity</b>	
Evaluate the overall clarity of ideas and expression <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the paper well written and easy to follow from the readers' perspective?</li> <li>• Does the paper motivate research in this topic?</li> </ul>	
<b>References</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the references relevant to the existing literature in this topic?</li> <li>• Is the literature up to date?</li> <li>• Are the references they properly included in the manuscript using APA style (ed.6)?</li> </ul>	
<b>Technical issues</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the paper organized in a logical fashion?</li> <li>• Is the paper written in clear English?</li> </ul>	

RECOMMENDATION	
PUBLISH	
PUBLISH WITH MINOR REVISIONS	
PUBLISH WITH MAJOR REVISIONS	
REJECT	

Add here any further comments, suggestions or explanations.

You may also write your comments on the body of the manuscript.